



Re: Follow up Leiden <> [J]

From [J]@thepinback.com>

Date Tue 2026-01-20 13:45

To [J]@luris.nl>

Cc [J]
[J]

All received. Will come back by the end of the week with information and SHA questions. I assume we will know the final amount of 'donation' when [J] completes the project outline?

On Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 11:59 AM [J]

<[J]@luris.nl> wrote:

>

> Good morning all,

>

> Good to see the call went well, sorry I couldn't join.

>

> For the license and company agreements it's back to me, I attach the national template SHA for your perusal. License agreement will require us to first get insight from [J] with regards to his planned IP development!

>

> Hope this helps,

>

> [J]

>

>

>

> From: [J]@thepinback.com>

> Date: Tuesday, 20 January 2026 at 11:19

> To: [J]@BB.leidenuniv.nl>

> Cc: [J]
[J]

> Subject: Re: Follow up Leiden <> [J]

>

> Dear [J]

>

> Thank you again for taking the time to meet and for helping us move things forward.

>

> In parallel to finalizing the timeline for the position opening and project outline, could you please share:

>

> Donation agreement and requirements needed from our side. Once we receive it, we will review and provide the requested information so we can finalize and sign, and then proceed with the transfer.

>

> License agreement / NewCo structure. Could you share any draft or template you have for the license agreement and commercial aspects, that would support the "double bridge" structure we discussed. This will help us work on the structural aspects in parallel and make it easier to attract additional investors.

>
> We are very motivated to move quickly on the Leiden position, and it
> is amazing to see us crossing the finish line.
>
> Please let us know if there is anything else you need from our side at
> this stage.
>
> Best regards,
> [J]
>
> On Mon, Jan 19, 2026 at 11:00 AM [J]
[J] univ.nl> wrote:
>>
>> Dear [J]
>>
>>
>>
>> 11 am works for me! [J] will also join the call.
>>
>> I will send you a Teams invite – looking forward to discuss tomorrow.
>>
>>
>>
>> Best regards,
>>
>>
>>
>> [J]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Classified as Internal | Intern
>>
>> From: [J]@thepinback.com>
>> Sent: Monday, January 19, 2026 9:47 AM
>> To: [J]@BB.leidenuniv.nl>
>> Cc: [J]
[J]@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl>
>> Subject: Re: Follow up Leiden <> [J]
>>
>>
>>
>> Hi all,
>>
>>
>>
>> Thank you for the active work on the points.
>>
>>
>>
>> Let's schedule a call for tomorrow. Does 11am work for you?
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks,
>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> On Mon, 19 Jan 2026 at 09:27, [redacted]@bb.leidenuniv.nl> wrote:

>>

>> Dear [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> Thanks for the additional information you sent me.

>>

>> I am in close contact with the institute and awaiting the detailed information from the institute, with further details on the scientist's appointment and the exact timeline.

>>

>> Shall we plan a Teams meeting as soon as I get the information so we can discuss further steps to finalize our agreement?

>>

>>

>>

>> Best regards,

>>

>>

>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> Classified as Internal | Intern

>>

>> From: [redacted]@skyfortress.ai>

>> Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2026 10:05 AM

>> To: [redacted]@BB.leidenuniv.nl>

>> Cc: [redacted]

[redacted]

<[redacted]>

>> Subject: Re: Follow up Leiden <> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> Hello [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> I hope you're doing well.

>>

>>

>>

>> Here is the info you requested:

>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>> [redacted]

[redacted]

>>

>> Could you please share the next steps in the process and an estimated timeline for when the position might open?

>>

>> Thank you,

>>

>> Best regards

>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> On Mon, Jan 12, 2026 at 6:30 PM [redacted]@bb.leidenuniv.nl> wrote:

>>

>> Dear [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> Thanks hope the new year has started well for you!

>>

>>

>>

>> Good to hear that you are fully prepared to sign all necessary documents. On our side we are working on a few last things. I am in contact with the institute about [redacted] project proposal – this will give the guideline for the description of the purpose of the fund, as well as the amount needed.

>>

>>

>>

>> Furthermore, in order to prepare the agreement, would it be possible to send me your answers to my questions in my mail below?

>>

>> And also the additional information mentioned in my previous mail in the attachment? We are wrapping up the due diligence so thanks for sending the data.

>>

>>

>>

>> I hope we can move ahead quickly after finalizing these issues. Looking forward to your reply, with best regards,

>>

>>

>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> Classified as Internal | Intern

>>

>> From: [redacted]@skyfortress.ai>

>> Sent: Wednesday, January 7, 2026 3:49 PM

>> To: V [redacted]@BB.leidenuniv.nl>

>> Cc: [redacted]

[redacted]

<[redacted]@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl>

>> Subject: Re: Follow up Leiden <> [redacted]

>>

>>

> >

> > Hello everyone, and happy holidays!

> >

> > I hope this message finds you well. I wanted to clarify the next steps, as we are fully prepared to sign all necessary documents to establish the experimental physics position for the [redacted] in Leiden. We're excited to move forward and would appreciate any guidance on how we can expedite the process.

> >

> > Thank you in advance for your assistance—looking forward to your response!

> >

> > Best

> >

> > [redacted]

> >

> >

> >

> > On Fri, Nov 28, 2025 at 5:53 PM [redacted]@bb.leidenuniv.nl> wrote:

> >

> > Dear [redacted]

> >

> >

> >

> > As discussed yesterday I herewith send you the template for our named fund agreement. All blanks that are highlighted have to be filled out, so I hope you can send me the following information:

> >

> >

> >

> > Name and address of the OU – as well as the full name of the person representing the donor who will sign the agreement.

> > Annual amount and start- and enddate of this donation

> > Your suggestion for the name of the fund

> > Description of the objective of the fund. We tend to put a broader description of the field of science, followed by the specific project of the specific scientist as first main objective. So this could be research in the domain of theoretical physics, the project that will be supported with the amount of \$ xxx is eg. "[redacted]

[redacted] by [redacted]

> > What kind of additional reporting on the progress of the research would you like to receive? You will receive an annual financial report.

> >

> >

> >

> > I will handle the names of members the expenditure committee mentioned under 6.1. These will most probably be [redacted] and one or two researchers/members of the scientific board of the Leiden Institute of Physics.

> >

> >

> >

> > And I have a question concerning the OÜ - is information of the contributors and investors involved in this OÜ online available?

> >

> >

> >

> > Thank you for sending the information needed, I will follow up with a draft agreement.

> >

> >

> >

> > Wishing you a nice weekend! Best regards,

> >

> >

> >

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> Classified as Internal | Intern

>>

>> From: [redacted]@skyfortress.ai>

>> Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2025 4:50 PM

>> To: [redacted]@BB.leidenuniv.nl>

>> Cc: [redacted]

<[redacted]@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl>

>> Subject: Re: Follow up Leiden <> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> Dear [redacted]

>>

>>

>> Thank you for the update – excellent news!

>>

>>

>> Yes, let's definitely get aligned with [redacted] and [redacted]

>>

>>

>> Tomorrow at 14:30 CET works perfectly for me. Does that suit everyone else?

>>

>>

>> Best regards,

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> On Wed, 26. Nov 2025 at 3:27 PM, [redacted]@bb.leidenuniv.nl> wrote:

>>

>> Dear [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>> Following our email of some days ago, just a quick message to let you know that we have finalized our due diligence, and that the university is looking forward to accept your donation. Is it an idea to schedule a brief meeting together with [redacted] and [redacted] to discuss the next steps?

>>

>>

>>

>> Best wishes,

>>

>>

>>

>> [redacted]

>>

>>

>>

>>

> >

> > Classified as Internal | Intern

> >

> > From: [J]@luris.nl>

> > Sent: Saturday, November 15, 2025 12:53 PM

> > To: [J]@skyfortress.ai>

> > Cc: S

<[J]@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl>

> > Subject: Re: Follow up Leiden <> [J]

> >

> >

> >

> > Dear [J]

> >

> >

> >

> > Trust this finds you well.

> >

> >

> >

> > Unless I am mistaken, I don't believe you shared a write-up of your plan, am I correct?

> >

> > We got the input from [J] so I can now make the proposal I promised you, and I have some items for you to think about.

> >

> >

> >

> > On a high level, what I propose is this: the donation is arranged according to the regular process, which the group in this e-mail will jointly coordinate and follow the guidance of [J]

> >

> > Using the development plan of that donation, the group of [J] can start to work on their research. We will work closely with my colleagues in Luris and with [J] colleagues in the faculty to identify the promising leads [K] We have a strong department managing that, and we will file patents accordingly.

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > [N] If all works well, then the latter two we should be able to

> > finalise in a matter of weeks (your group would do most of the negotiating with myself); and from what I can tell the donation process is also well underway.

> >

> >

> >

> > Assuming you've not previously set up a Dutch BV, it's a straightforward process, there is no minimum capital requirement and I can recommend affordable legal representation here in the Netherlands if you wish.

> >

> >

>>

>> Let me know your thoughts, we can set up a brief call to discuss further if you wish!

>>

>>

>>

>> Best,

>>

>>

>>

>> [redacted] J

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> On 7 Nov 2025, at 11:01, [redacted] J@luris.nl> wrote:

>>

>>

>>

>> Hi [redacted] J

>>

>>

>>

>> Thanks for checking in and I appreciate your sense of using the momentum!

>>

>>

>>

>> On our end: we are waiting for our colleague [redacted] J to have a conversation with [redacted] J to check our understanding of the scientific side of things. His availability, from what I can see, is now the bottleneck.

>>

>>

>>

>> What you can do on your end to accelerate things:

>>

>> If you could share a write-up (just bullets is fine) of your plan: 1) content, 2) duration, 3) financial parameters you have in mind. Then I will take it upon me to integrate that in one, complete proposal from our end on how to structure things.

>>

>>

>>

>> Many thanks and speak soon,

>>

>>

>>

>> Hidde-Jan

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> On 6 Nov 2025, at 17:15, [redacted] J@skyfortress.ai> wrote:

>>

> >

> >

> > Dear Team,

> >

> > I hope this message finds you well.

> >

> > As discussed during our recent call, we are eager to move forward with the initiative. From my understanding, the positions and personnel have already been outlined by [redacted] and are awaiting our confirmation. We are fully supportive of proceeding in parallel to minimize any potential delays and keep the momentum going.

> >

> > Are there any opportunities to expedite this in the near term? What actions can we take on our end to accelerate the process?

> >

> > Thank you for your collaboration. I'm looking forward to your insights and to advancing this together.

> >

> > Best regards,

> >

> > [redacted]

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > On Fri, Oct 31, 2025 at 9:01 AM [redacted]@thepinback.com> wrote:

> >

> > Thank you so much! Looking forward to hearing from you

> >

> >

> >

> > On Fri, 31 Oct 2025 at 09:01, [redacted]@luris.nl> wrote:

> >

> > Many thanks for your summary!

> >

> >

> >

> > We will discuss internally about how best to proceed and we will revert asap!

> >

> >

> >

> > Best, HJ

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > On 30 Oct 2025, at 14:50, [redacted]@skyfortress.ai> wrote:

> >

> >

> >

> > Dear all,

> >

> >

> >

> > First of all, thank you for the opportunity to engage in this dialogue. And shared a vision to move fast and accelerate science.

> >

> >

> >

> > We are driven by the idea of accelerating science - where scientists, universities, and entrepreneurs work hand in hand to transform early-stage experiments into technologies that can scale globally, while still preserving the integrity and mission of academic research.

> >

> >

> >

> > As previously discussed, we are committing funds to cover five years of a professor's work at the university. This professor's position is critical for enabling Leiden University to accelerate the development of technologies connected to [REDACTED] in which Leiden University also takes an active part. Additionally, we are open to adjust specific requirements towards university demands.

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > Once we are able to sign the framework agreement, our goal will be to expand the circle of contributors - bringing in additional private individuals and organizations who share the same vision and can support scientific progress by investing directly in its development.

> >

> >

> >

> > At this stage, we would like to clarify two possible structural approaches we are exploring together with Leiden University:

> >

> >

> >

> > 1. Donation-based model (Option A1):

> > The entity provides a donation to the university to support specific scientific work or personnel. In return, the entity would receive information and access rights - for example, early visibility into the progress of research, opportunities to explore potential applications, and a right of first refusal on any technology or startup that may emerge from this work.

> >

> > 2. Investment-based model (Option A2):

> > The entity provides targeted funding that functions more like an investment, directed at a particular project, experiment, or faculty initiative. In this structure, the entity could hold a shared ownership stake in the intellectual property or resulting product, in collaboration with the university.

> >

> > We would appreciate your feedback on how Leiden University views these structures, including the management of IP rights and how rights related to donations versus direct investments might be differentiated.

> >

> >

> >

> > We are ready to jump back into discussion as soon as needed. Please also let us know regarding DD requirements for the financials structures.

> >

> >

> >

> > Best

> >

> > [REDACTED] J

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >

> >
> >

Toelichting grondslagen

In dit document kunt u secties vinden die onleesbaar zijn gemaakt. Deze informatie is achterwege gelaten op basis van de Wet open overheid (Woo). De letter die hierbij is vermeld correspondeert met de bijbehorende grondslag in onderstaand overzicht.

J Art. 5.1 lid 2 sub e

Het belang van de openbaarmaking van deze informatie weegt niet op tegen het belang van de eerbiediging van de persoonlijke levenssfeer van betrokkenen

K Art. 5.1 lid 2 sub f

Het belang van de openbaarmaking van deze informatie weegt niet op tegen het belang van de bescherming van andere dan in art. 5.1 lid 1 sub c genoemde concurrentiegevoelige bedrijfs- en fabricagegegevens

N Art. 5.1 lid 2 sub i

Het belang van de openbaarmaking van deze informatie weegt niet op tegen het belang van het goed functioneren van de Staat, andere publiekrechtelijke lichamen of bestuursorganen